

ditto ditto ditto ditto
ditto ditto ditto ditto
these wines are of that undoubtedly
character, that private families and the
could not lose the opportunity of pur-
chasing the above in a periodical shipment
will not be repeated for 12 months.

Terms liberal, at sale. 5523

[illegible]

Supplement TO THE SYDNEY MORNING HERALD.

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1851.

CUMBERLAND BOROUGH.

TO JOHN ROSE HOLDEN, ESQUIRE, SYDNEY.

WE, who are duly qualified Electors for the district of the Cumberland Boroughs, beg that you will allow yourself to be put in nomination for election to represent this constituency in the Legislative Council.

In making this request, we are actuated by the conviction that you will, in the event of your return, (which we pledge ourselves to forward by every means in our power), zealously and faithfully advocate and promote our cause and interests; and your extensive information on all topics relating to the well-being and prosperity of the colony, points you out as a fit person in whom to place our confidence.

John Hoskisson, J. Dowe, M.D., Michael M'Quade, W. M'Quade, Henry Callaway, Richard Ridge, James Mountford, John M. M'Quade, Donald Ross, James Brown, James Ingram, Thomas Freeman, John Scott, Ernest Levy, James Dorey, John Watt, Walter Blanchard, David Collett, James Gough, Simon Freebody, John Buxton, Edward Miller, William Welsh, John Murray, William Anderson, H. Baldwin, Reuben Bullock, Patrick Byrne, Charles Clifford, William Goss, Windsor, September 2.

SECOND REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY George Graham, R. Welling, J. Macdonald, William Thompson, Stephen Whitman, William Pappin, Liverpool, September 1.

THIRD REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY John Tindale Neale, William H. Kellett, Thomas Birles, Francis Robertson, Penrith, September 3.

Reply. To John Tindale, John Hoskisson, and George Graham, Esq., and the Electors signing the above requisitions.

GENTLEMEN, I feel highly honoured by the handsome manner in which you have been pleased to solicit my services, and in accepting your requisition beg to assure you, that should it be my good fortune to be elected, you will always find me an independent, faithful, and zealous representative, ready at my post to support good, or oppose injurious measures from whatever quarter they emanate.

In consequence of the recent discovery of gold in the colony, extraordinary changes may naturally be expected, whether for good or evil remains to be proved. It will be my earnest desire to protect, not only your interests, but advance the welfare of the colony.

Long letters and speeches are alike distasteful to me. Afford the opportunity to serve, deeds not words, alone shall prove your confidence has not been misplaced.

With respect to my opinions on various subjects of general interest, I will briefly explain—

1st. The great bone of contention "The Transportation question" is at an end. No man in his senses would advocate the introduction of convicts into an auriferous region; therefore I am decidedly opposed to the removal of transportation to any of the Australian colonies on any conditions.

2nd. Every facility should be afforded to advance education. I prefer the denominational to the national system; where population is scattered the former is not practicable, therefore I would apply the latter rather than ignorance should exist.

3rd. Vote by Ballot I am opposed to; the present mode is more manly and constitutional; deception is easier practised in the former than the latter.

4th. So long as the present form of Government exists, I consider two houses unnecessary. At no distant period the House of Representatives will be compelled to allow the colonists to manage their own affairs, in order to maintain that friendly intercourse which it is the interest of both to cultivate, it will then be time enough to consider the subject.

5th. The minimum price of Crown Lands is far too high for general purposes. Since the mineral wealth of the colony has been developed, it requires serious consideration before forming a decided opinion as to what change would be most beneficial.

6th. It will be my study to watch carefully the expenditure of public money, and oppose any grant which I consider unnecessary, or for which a sufficient value is not likely to be rendered.

7th. The disgraceful condition of the roads and bridges in parts of the colony demands attention. If elected, I shall frequently visit the Cumberland Boroughs, for the purpose of becoming acquainted with the wants of my constituents.

CUMBERLAND BOROUGH.

TO JOHN ROSE HOLDEN, ESQUIRE, SYDNEY.

WE, who are duly qualified Electors for the district of the Cumberland Boroughs, beg that you will allow yourself to be put in nomination for election to represent this constituency in the Legislative Council.

In making this request, we are actuated by the conviction that you will, in the event of your return, (which we pledge ourselves to forward by every means in our power), zealously and faithfully advocate and promote our cause and interests; and your extensive information on all topics relating to the well-being and prosperity of the colony, points you out as a fit person in whom to place our confidence.

John Hoskisson, J. Dowe, M.D., Michael M'Quade, W. M'Quade, Henry Callaway, Richard Ridge, James Mountford, John M. M'Quade, Donald Ross, James Brown, James Ingram, Thomas Freeman, John Scott, Ernest Levy, James Dorey, John Watt, Walter Blanchard, David Collett, James Gough, Simon Freebody, John Buxton, Edward Miller, William Welsh, John Murray, William Anderson, H. Baldwin, Reuben Bullock, Patrick Byrne, Charles Clifford, William Goss, Windsor, September 2.

SECOND REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY George Graham, R. Welling, J. Macdonald, William Thompson, Stephen Whitman, William Pappin, Liverpool, September 1.

THIRD REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY John Tindale Neale, William H. Kellett, Thomas Birles, Francis Robertson, Penrith, September 3.

Reply. To John Tindale, John Hoskisson, and George Graham, Esq., and the Electors signing the above requisitions.

GENTLEMEN, I feel highly honoured by the handsome manner in which you have been pleased to solicit my services, and in accepting your requisition beg to assure you, that should it be my good fortune to be elected, you will always find me an independent, faithful, and zealous representative, ready at my post to support good, or oppose injurious measures from whatever quarter they emanate.

In consequence of the recent discovery of gold in the colony, extraordinary changes may naturally be expected, whether for good or evil remains to be proved. It will be my earnest desire to protect, not only your interests, but advance the welfare of the colony.

Long letters and speeches are alike distasteful to me. Afford the opportunity to serve, deeds not words, alone shall prove your confidence has not been misplaced.

With respect to my opinions on various subjects of general interest, I will briefly explain—

1st. The great bone of contention "The Transportation question" is at an end. No man in his senses would advocate the introduction of convicts into an auriferous region; therefore I am decidedly opposed to the removal of transportation to any of the Australian colonies on any conditions.

2nd. Every facility should be afforded to advance education. I prefer the denominational to the national system; where population is scattered the former is not practicable, therefore I would apply the latter rather than ignorance should exist.

3rd. Vote by Ballot I am opposed to; the present mode is more manly and constitutional; deception is easier practised in the former than the latter.

4th. So long as the present form of Government exists, I consider two houses unnecessary. At no distant period the House of Representatives will be compelled to allow the colonists to manage their own affairs, in order to maintain that friendly intercourse which it is the interest of both to cultivate, it will then be time enough to consider the subject.

5th. The minimum price of Crown Lands is far too high for general purposes. Since the mineral wealth of the colony has been developed, it requires serious consideration before forming a decided opinion as to what change would be most beneficial.

6th. It will be my study to watch carefully the expenditure of public money, and oppose any grant which I consider unnecessary, or for which a sufficient value is not likely to be rendered.

7th. The disgraceful condition of the roads and bridges in parts of the colony demands attention. If elected, I shall frequently visit the Cumberland Boroughs, for the purpose of becoming acquainted with the wants of my constituents.

CUMBERLAND BOROUGH.

TO JOHN ROSE HOLDEN, ESQUIRE, SYDNEY.

WE, who are duly qualified Electors for the district of the Cumberland Boroughs, beg that you will allow yourself to be put in nomination for election to represent this constituency in the Legislative Council.

In making this request, we are actuated by the conviction that you will, in the event of your return, (which we pledge ourselves to forward by every means in our power), zealously and faithfully advocate and promote our cause and interests; and your extensive information on all topics relating to the well-being and prosperity of the colony, points you out as a fit person in whom to place our confidence.

John Hoskisson, J. Dowe, M.D., Michael M'Quade, W. M'Quade, Henry Callaway, Richard Ridge, James Mountford, John M. M'Quade, Donald Ross, James Brown, James Ingram, Thomas Freeman, John Scott, Ernest Levy, James Dorey, John Watt, Walter Blanchard, David Collett, James Gough, Simon Freebody, John Buxton, Edward Miller, William Welsh, John Murray, William Anderson, H. Baldwin, Reuben Bullock, Patrick Byrne, Charles Clifford, William Goss, Windsor, September 2.

SECOND REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY George Graham, R. Welling, J. Macdonald, William Thompson, Stephen Whitman, William Pappin, Liverpool, September 1.

THIRD REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY John Tindale Neale, William H. Kellett, Thomas Birles, Francis Robertson, Penrith, September 3.

Reply. To John Tindale, John Hoskisson, and George Graham, Esq., and the Electors signing the above requisitions.

GENTLEMEN, I feel highly honoured by the handsome manner in which you have been pleased to solicit my services, and in accepting your requisition beg to assure you, that should it be my good fortune to be elected, you will always find me an independent, faithful, and zealous representative, ready at my post to support good, or oppose injurious measures from whatever quarter they emanate.

In consequence of the recent discovery of gold in the colony, extraordinary changes may naturally be expected, whether for good or evil remains to be proved. It will be my earnest desire to protect, not only your interests, but advance the welfare of the colony.

Long letters and speeches are alike distasteful to me. Afford the opportunity to serve, deeds not words, alone shall prove your confidence has not been misplaced.

With respect to my opinions on various subjects of general interest, I will briefly explain—

1st. The great bone of contention "The Transportation question" is at an end. No man in his senses would advocate the introduction of convicts into an auriferous region; therefore I am decidedly opposed to the removal of transportation to any of the Australian colonies on any conditions.

2nd. Every facility should be afforded to advance education. I prefer the denominational to the national system; where population is scattered the former is not practicable, therefore I would apply the latter rather than ignorance should exist.

3rd. Vote by Ballot I am opposed to; the present mode is more manly and constitutional; deception is easier practised in the former than the latter.

4th. So long as the present form of Government exists, I consider two houses unnecessary. At no distant period the House of Representatives will be compelled to allow the colonists to manage their own affairs, in order to maintain that friendly intercourse which it is the interest of both to cultivate, it will then be time enough to consider the subject.

5th. The minimum price of Crown Lands is far too high for general purposes. Since the mineral wealth of the colony has been developed, it requires serious consideration before forming a decided opinion as to what change would be most beneficial.

6th. It will be my study to watch carefully the expenditure of public money, and oppose any grant which I consider unnecessary, or for which a sufficient value is not likely to be rendered.

7th. The disgraceful condition of the roads and bridges in parts of the colony demands attention. If elected, I shall frequently visit the Cumberland Boroughs, for the purpose of becoming acquainted with the wants of my constituents.

AUSTRALIAN GENERAL ASSURANCE COMPANY.

OFFICE, No. 488, GEORGE-STREET, SYDNEY, (Opposite the Barrack Gate.)

CAPITAL—£400,000, IN 4000 SHARES.

DIRECTORS

H. H. Browne, Esq., Chairman
William Brown, Esq., Deputy Chairman
John Alexander, Esq., Robert Graham, Esq.,
Alex. Campbell, Esq., Robert How, Esq.,
MARINE SURVEYOR.

Captain Ashmore, Kent-street North.

The Directors attend daily to receive applications for insurances. Risks are taken on goods and vessels of forty-five tons register and upwards to all ports.

Gold and specie insured from Sydney to London, or other ports in Great Britain, by any of Her Majesty's ships at the rate of one per cent., and by merchant vessels at the rate of one and a half per cent.; and policies will be granted in triplicate, payable in London, in case of loss, if required.

The Board meets every Wednesday at one o'clock for the despatch of general business.

Rates of Premium per cent. (with average.)

Europe 2 1/2 Colonial Trade 1 1/2
China, India, &c. 2 1/2 Hobart Town 1 1/2
Java 2 1/2 Launceston 1 1/2
South America 2 1/2 Port Phillip 1 1/2
Mauritius or 2 1/2 Ditto per steamer 1 1/2
Bourbon 2 1/2 Adelaide 1 1/2
Cape of Good Hope 2 1/2 Swan River 1 1/2
Torres Straits 2 1/2 Auckland 1 1/2
Whaling vessels 2 1/2 Port Nicholson 1 1/2
for the voyage 2 1/2 Macao Bay (by the northern passage only) 1 1/2

Time Risks

12 months 9 For goods, &c., made free of particular average the premium is reduced 1/2 to 1 per centon the above rates.

N.B.—Notice is hereby given, that the Company's Surveyor will attend on the arrival of each vessel in which they may be interested, to report upon the stowage of the cargo, &c., and that where claims are likely to be made, notice is required to be left at the Company's Office on the arrival of the vessel.

JOHN D. GIBSON, Secretary.

IMPERIAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF LONDON.

CAPITAL ONE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND POUNDS.

REDUCED SCALE OF PREMIUMS—

Per annum. Per cent.

Slated brick or stone buildings detached 5 0
Ditto ditto ditto contiguous 7 6
Ditto ditto ditto ditto to inferior 10 0
Buildings 10 0
Shingled ditto ditto ditto detached 10 0
Ditto ditto ditto contiguous 12 6
Inferior buildings 15s. and 17 6

Vessels in harbour with or without warranty to repair and ships building.

Looses by lightning made good. All losses promptly adjusted in Sydney.

GRIFFITHS, FANNING, AND CO., Agents.

Spring-street, Sydney.

AUSTRALASIAN BOTANICAL AND HORTICULTURAL SOCIETY.

THE Committee beg to announce that the SPRING EXHIBITION of this Society will be held at the Botanic Gardens, on Wednesday, the 1st October next.

Members, and parties holding members' tickets, and ladies or children introduced by a member, will be admitted at two o'clock, ladies so introduced upon payment of one shilling each; and children, six-pence each.

All other persons will be admitted at half-past three o'clock, by tickets which will be charged for as follows—

If purchased prior to the day of Exhibition, One Shilling each.

If purchased at the Gate, One Shilling and Six-pence each.

Children, in any case, Six-pence each. Tickets may be purchased, and all information relative to the Exhibition may be obtained, from the Secretary, at the Office of the Society, 488, George-street.

W. G. PENNINGTON, Secretary.

August 22.

4627

GOLD DUST.—The undersigned are purchasers of Gold Dust, in any quantity, at OPHIR and SYDNEY.

L. AND S. SAMUEL.

Pitt-street, July 11.

91

TO GOLD SEEKERS—QUANTZ CRUSHING.

FOR SALE, in Bathurst, a three-horse power high pressure steam-engine complete, with two throw pumps and gear, suitable for emptying water holes; or the engine would be available as a motive power in quartz crushing. Apply to

J. J. ASHE,

Auctioneer and Commission Agent, Bathurst.

or to

GRIFFITHS, FANNING, AND CO.,

3773 Sydney.

CUMBERLAND BOROUGH.

TO JOHN ROSE HOLDEN, ESQUIRE, SYDNEY.

WE, who are duly qualified Electors for the district of the Cumberland Boroughs, beg that you will allow yourself to be put in nomination for election to represent this constituency in the Legislative Council.

In making this request, we are actuated by the conviction that you will, in the event of your return, (which we pledge ourselves to forward by every means in our power), zealously and faithfully advocate and promote our cause and interests; and your extensive information on all topics relating to the well-being and prosperity of the colony, points you out as a fit person in whom to place our confidence.

John Hoskisson, J. Dowe, M.D., Michael M'Quade, W. M'Quade, Henry Callaway, Richard Ridge, James Mountford, John M. M'Quade, Donald Ross, James Brown, James Ingram, Thomas Freeman, John Scott, Ernest Levy, James Dorey, John Watt, Walter Blanchard, David Collett, James Gough, Simon Freebody, John Buxton, Edward Miller, William Welsh, John Murray, William Anderson, H. Baldwin, Reuben Bullock, Patrick Byrne, Charles Clifford, William Goss, Windsor, September 2.

SECOND REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY George Graham, R. Welling, J. Macdonald, William Thompson, Stephen Whitman, William Pappin, Liverpool, September 1.

THIRD REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY John Tindale Neale, William H. Kellett, Thomas Birles, Francis Robertson, Penrith, September 3.

Reply. To John Tindale, John Hoskisson, and George Graham, Esq., and the Electors signing the above requisitions.

GENTLEMEN, I feel highly honoured by the handsome manner in which you have been pleased to solicit my services, and in accepting your requisition beg to assure you, that should it be my good fortune to be elected, you will always find me an independent, faithful, and zealous representative, ready at my post to support good, or oppose injurious measures from whatever quarter they emanate.

In consequence of the recent discovery of gold in the colony, extraordinary changes may naturally be expected, whether for good or evil remains to be proved. It will be my earnest desire to protect, not only your interests, but advance the welfare of the colony.

Long letters and speeches are alike distasteful to me. Afford the opportunity to serve, deeds not words, alone shall prove your confidence has not been misplaced.

With respect to my opinions on various subjects of general interest, I will briefly explain—

1st. The great bone of contention "The Transportation question" is at an end. No man in his senses would advocate the introduction of convicts into an auriferous region; therefore I am decidedly opposed to the removal of transportation to any of the Australian colonies on any conditions.

2nd. Every facility should be afforded to advance education. I prefer the denominational to the national system; where population is scattered the former is not practicable, therefore I would apply the latter rather than ignorance should exist.

3rd. Vote by Ballot I am opposed to; the present mode is more manly and constitutional; deception is easier practised in the former than the latter.

4th. So long as the present form of Government exists, I consider two houses unnecessary. At no distant period the House of Representatives will be compelled to allow the colonists to manage their own affairs, in order to maintain that friendly intercourse which it is the interest of both to cultivate, it will then be time enough to consider the subject.

5th. The minimum price of Crown Lands is far too high for general purposes. Since the mineral wealth of the colony has been developed, it requires serious consideration before forming a decided opinion as to what change would be most beneficial.

6th. It will be my study to watch carefully the expenditure of public money, and oppose any grant which I consider unnecessary, or for which a sufficient value is not likely to be rendered.

7th. The disgraceful condition of the roads and bridges in parts of the colony demands attention. If elected, I shall frequently visit the Cumberland Boroughs, for the purpose of becoming acquainted with the wants of my constituents.

CUMBERLAND BOROUGH.

TO JOHN ROSE HOLDEN, ESQUIRE, SYDNEY.

WE, who are duly qualified Electors for the district of the Cumberland Boroughs, beg that you will allow yourself to be put in nomination for election to represent this constituency in the Legislative Council.

In making this request, we are actuated by the conviction that you will, in the event of your return, (which we pledge ourselves to forward by every means in our power), zealously and faithfully advocate and promote our cause and interests; and your extensive information on all topics relating to the well-being and prosperity of the colony, points you out as a fit person in whom to place our confidence.

John Hoskisson, J. Dowe, M.D., Michael M'Quade, W. M'Quade, Henry Callaway, Richard Ridge, James Mountford, John M. M'Quade, Donald Ross, James Brown, James Ingram, Thomas Freeman, John Scott, Ernest Levy, James Dorey, John Watt, Walter Blanchard, David Collett, James Gough, Simon Freebody, John Buxton, Edward Miller, William Welsh, John Murray, William Anderson, H. Baldwin, Reuben Bullock, Patrick Byrne, Charles Clifford, William Goss, Windsor, September 2.

SECOND REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY George Graham, R. Welling, J. Macdonald, William Thompson, Stephen Whitman, William Pappin, Liverpool, September 1.

THIRD REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY John Tindale Neale, William H. Kellett, Thomas Birles, Francis Robertson, Penrith, September 3.

Reply. To John Tindale, John Hoskisson, and George Graham, Esq., and the Electors signing the above requisitions.

GENTLEMEN, I feel highly honoured by the handsome manner in which you have been pleased to solicit my services, and in accepting your requisition beg to assure you, that should it be my good fortune to be elected, you will always find me an independent, faithful, and zealous representative, ready at my post to support good, or oppose injurious measures from whatever quarter they emanate.

In consequence of the recent discovery of gold in the colony, extraordinary changes may naturally be expected, whether for good or evil remains to be proved. It will be my earnest desire to protect, not only your interests, but advance the welfare of the colony.

Long letters and speeches are alike distasteful to me. Afford the opportunity to serve, deeds not words, alone shall prove your confidence has not been misplaced.

With respect to my opinions on various subjects of general interest, I will briefly explain—

1st. The great bone of contention "The Transportation question" is at an end. No man in his senses would advocate the introduction of convicts into an auriferous region; therefore I am decidedly opposed to the removal of transportation to any of the Australian colonies on any conditions.

2nd. Every facility should be afforded to advance education. I prefer the denominational to the national system; where population is scattered the former is not practicable, therefore I would apply the latter rather than ignorance should exist.

3rd. Vote by Ballot I am opposed to; the present mode is more manly and constitutional; deception is easier practised in the former than the latter.

4th. So long as the present form of Government exists, I consider two houses unnecessary. At no distant period the House of Representatives will be compelled to allow the colonists to manage their own affairs, in order to maintain that friendly intercourse which it is the interest of both to cultivate, it will then be time enough to consider the subject.

5th. The minimum price of Crown Lands is far too high for general purposes. Since the mineral wealth of the colony has been developed, it requires serious consideration before forming a decided opinion as to what change would be most beneficial.

6th. It will be my study to watch carefully the expenditure of public money, and oppose any grant which I consider unnecessary, or for which a sufficient value is not likely to be rendered.

7th. The disgraceful condition of the roads and bridges in parts of the colony demands attention. If elected, I shall frequently visit the Cumberland Boroughs, for the purpose of becoming acquainted with the wants of my constituents.

CUMBERLAND BOROUGH.

TO JOHN ROSE HOLDEN, ESQUIRE, SYDNEY.

WE, who are duly qualified Electors for the district of the Cumberland Boroughs, beg that you will allow yourself to be put in nomination for election to represent this constituency in the Legislative Council.

In making this request, we are actuated by the conviction that you will, in the event of your return, (which we pledge ourselves to forward by every means in our power), zealously and faithfully advocate and promote our cause and interests; and your extensive information on all topics relating to the well-being and prosperity of the colony, points you out as a fit person in whom to place our confidence.

John Hoskisson, J. Dowe, M.D., Michael M'Quade, W. M'Quade, Henry Callaway, Richard Ridge, James Mountford, John M. M'Quade, Donald Ross, James Brown, James Ingram, Thomas Freeman, John Scott, Ernest Levy, James Dorey, John Watt, Walter Blanchard, David Collett, James Gough, Simon Freebody, John Buxton, Edward Miller, William Welsh, John Murray, William Anderson, H. Baldwin, Reuben Bullock, Patrick Byrne, Charles Clifford, William Goss, Windsor, September 2.

SECOND REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY George Graham, R. Welling, J. Macdonald, William Thompson, Stephen Whitman, William Pappin, Liverpool, September 1.

THIRD REQUISITION.

TO THE SAME EFFECT, SIGNED BY John Tindale Neale, William H. Kellett, Thomas Birles, Francis Robertson, Penrith, September 3.

Reply. To John Tindale, John Hoskisson, and George Graham, Esq., and the Electors signing the above requisitions.

GENTLEMEN, I feel highly honoured by the handsome manner in which you have been pleased to solicit my services, and in accepting your requisition beg to assure you, that should it be my good fortune to be elected, you will always find me an independent, faithful, and zealous representative, ready at my post to support good, or oppose injurious measures from whatever quarter they emanate.

In consequence of the recent discovery of gold in the colony, extraordinary changes may naturally be expected, whether for good or evil remains to be proved. It will be my earnest desire to protect, not only your interests, but advance the welfare of the colony.

Long letters and speeches are alike distasteful to me. Afford the opportunity to serve, deeds not words, alone shall prove your confidence has not been misplaced.

With respect to my opinions on various subjects of general interest, I will briefly explain—

1st. The great bone of contention "The Transportation question" is at an end. No man in his senses would advocate the introduction of convicts into an auriferous region; therefore I am decidedly opposed to the removal of transportation to any of the Australian colonies on any conditions.

2nd. Every facility should be afforded to advance education. I prefer the denominational to the national system; where population is scattered the former is not practicable, therefore I would apply the latter rather than ignorance should exist.

3rd. Vote by Ballot I am opposed to; the present mode is more manly and constitutional; deception is easier practised in the former than the latter.

4th. So long as the present form of Government exists, I consider two houses unnecessary. At no distant period the House of Representatives will be compelled to allow the colonists to manage their own affairs, in order to maintain that friendly intercourse which it is the interest of both to cultivate, it will then be time enough to consider the subject.

5th. The minimum price of Crown Lands is far too high for general purposes. Since the mineral wealth of the colony has been developed, it requires serious consideration before forming a decided opinion as to what change would be most beneficial.

6th. It will be my study to watch carefully the expenditure of public money, and oppose any grant which I consider unnecessary, or for which a sufficient value is not likely to be rendered.

7th. The disgraceful condition of the roads and bridges in parts of the colony demands attention. If elected, I shall frequently visit the Cumberland Boroughs, for the purpose of becoming acquainted with the wants of my constituents.

CUMBERLAND BOROUGH.

TO JOHN ROSE HOLDEN, ESQUIRE, SYDNEY.

WE, who are duly qualified Electors for the district of the Cumberland Boroughs, beg that you will allow yourself to be put in nomination for election to represent this constituency in the Legislative Council.

DURKIE AND LAMBERT (Successors to the late Mr. Samuel Lyons) will sell by auction, at their Mart, 481, George-street, on WEDNESDAY, 24th instant, at 11 o'clock precisely, 160 bolts extra heavy canvas. Nos 1 to 4.

TURON RIVER—The Gold Digger's Home. For the information and guidance of gentlemen and parties visiting the Gold Diggings, they need not be at a loss where to find a place of rest after a long and tedious journey, as a most commodious House has been erected by a respectable party (not regardless of any expense to enhance the comfort of his patrons) residing on the Turon; the terms for board and lodging is almost equal to the scale of charges in any Hotel in the City of Sydney.

An experienced Cook has been engaged for the culinary department; as also, waiters of respectable character; cleanliness and strict attention may be relied upon.

The establishment is adjacent to Mr. Greer's Store, distance about three hundred yards from where the Mail Coaches land their passengers. Turon River, opposite the Police Camp, September 9.

N.B.—Any parcels or luggage addressed to the care of Mr. Greer will be carefully stored at the party's risk, but free of charge. 5320

TO PARTIES PROCEEDING TO THE MINES—The Subscribers invite all parties proceeding to the Mines, to inspect their large and varied stock of Ready Made Clothing, (including the miners' white woollen suit).

Gutta percha waterproof coats, capes, Leggings and boots, air beds and pillows, Blankets, rugs, shirts, and every requisite for a miner's complete outfit.

TO GOLD MINERS—The undersigned manufactures Quicksilver Machines or Virginian Rockers, on a principle which is declared by competent judges to be the best in the colony, at 27 7 0

Improved ditto 9 9 0
Small ditto 3 0 0
Common rockers 1 6 0
Large ditto 1 15 0
Improved ditto 3 0 0
Pumps 2 10 0
Retorts 0 15 0

JOSIAH SKERRITT, Cabinet and Patent Mangle Manufacturer, O'Connell-street, corner of Hunter-street.

N.B.—Valuable information will be given to parties purchasing Quicksilver Machines. 5005

FOR SALE, at Smith, Brothers, and Co.'s stores, Sussex-street—Sheepshears, Wilkinson's and Sorby's Horse nails, Lath nails, Wrought rose nails and spikes, Wrought flooring brads, Joiners' brads, Flemish tacks, Steel yards, Large beams and scales, Saws, Files, Wheat mills, Brass wire flour sieves, Kitchen ranges, Carron's 3-inch cart boxes, Spades, Double-barrelled percussion guns, Ballock chains, Anvils, Vices, Iron wire, brass wire, copper wire, Scotch braces, spokeshaves, mortice g. axes, Smoothing jack, and trying planes, Black tomahawks, Falling axes, American axes, Carpenters' axes and broad axes, Shipwrights' axes and adzes, Fencers' adzes, mortice axes, Augers, Chisels, Breaking-up hoes, English sheet glass.

EX DUBLIN, from Liverpool. Tinned holloware, in assorted hogheads, comprising oval boilers, saucepans, tea kettles, stewpans, and tea kitchens. Sad irons, Iron weights, 56, 28, 14, 7, and 4 lb. down, Bronzed, japanned, and Berlin black fenders, Kitchen fenders, Close link oil chain, Wood screws, Bed screws, Carpenters' 3-bolt rim locks, Best bushed dead locks, Fine plate locks, Sander's and Young's stock locks, Drawback and hall door locks, Improved square and rim latches, Clarke's butt and parliament hinges, Barrel and round tower bolts, Scotch T. hinges, Welded Lancashire chest and T. hinges, Coach wrenches, Garden rakes, trowels, and hoes, Ship scrapers, brick and masons' trowels, Lath and shingle hammers, Farriers' hammers, Kent and Canterbury hammers, Carpenters' riveting heads, Ground paint brushes, Broom heads, bannister brushes, Whitewash brushes, sash tools, Horse, scrubbing, shoe, oil, and stove brushes, Shot, Hoop iron, Turpentine, Woolpacks, Three-bushel bags.

ESTABLISHED 1850. **AMBROSE FOSS**, Wholesale and Retail, Chemist and Druggist, has received by late arrival a general assortment of Genuine DRUGS, CHEMICALS, Patent Medicines, &c., &c., &c.

A. F. would remind Medical Practitioners, Chemists, Druggists, Storekeepers, and others, that all preparations sent out from his establishment are prepared in strict accordance with the formulae of the London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Pharmacopoeias; that all Drugs are imported from the most respectable firms in London, so that they may rely on their genuineness, and that his prices are as low, and in some instances lower, than other houses. 313, Pitt-street North. 4996

TO DRAPERS, SHIPPERS, STORE-KEEPERS, &c. The Subscribers beg to direct the attention of wholesale buyers generally to their unrivalled stock of **DIAPERY FANCY GOODS, SLOPS, &c.**, which they are prepared to offer upon the most liberal terms.

N.B.—Invoices of about two hundred (200) original packages, comprising almost every article in the trade. Entrance to the wholesale next door to the Savings Bank. **DAVID JONES AND CO.**, George and Barrack streets. 2 25

EX MARQUIS OF BUTE. **NO W L A N D I N G** 6, 9, and 12 thread Ratline 2, 3, and 4 yarn Spun yarn, Houseline, Oakum, pressed in 1 cwt. bundles, Brass and wood Compasses, Seaming Twine, Improved ditto, Copper Bolt Nails, Copper Bolts, 1/2, 3/4, and 1, Squires' seaming and holing Needles. **L. AND S. SPYER**, Spring-street. September 10.

ESTABLISHED 1846. **LAVERS AND CO.'S CORDIALS** A and LIQUEURS, SYDNEY. Noyeau, Ginger wine, Norfolk punch, Aniseed, Cherry brandy, Elder wine, Brandy bitters, Peppermint cordial, Gin bitters, Clove ditto, Rich rum shrub, Lemon syrup, may be obtained of the undersigned, or of any Wine and Spirit Merchant. **J. V. LAVERS AND CO.**, 337 1/2, Sydney, August 26.

OILMAN'S STORES. **ON SALE**, ex recent arrivals, KIRKLAND AND WYATT'S, Quart and pint pickles, 1lb. and 1/2lb. mustard, Pint and half-pint salad oil, Bottled fruits, And a large general assortment of oilman's stores, from the above house. **E. C. WEEKES AND CO.**, 450, George-street, Near the Post Office. 623

JUST LANDED, and on sale at the Stores of the Undersigned—Round and square bars of iron, Hoop iron, Tinned oval pots, saucepans, tea kettles, and tin kitchens, Rim locks, padlocks, braces and bits, Norfolk latches, Sad irons, Single and double barrelled fowling pieces, Carabines, pistols, percussion caps, Milled lead, Window glass, putty, White lead, Black, green, and red paints, Linseed oil and turpentine, Varnish, Shoe bills, lath, and other nails, Foster's extra-strapped spades, Sheepshears, Butt and T. hinges, Corkscrews, tea bells, Charcoal tin plates, Patent scythes, hand saws, Pit and cross-cut saws and files, Tomahawks, hoes, and augers, Mortice and falling axes, Tower bolts, looking glasses, Broom heads, bannister brushes, Japanned hearth brushes, Scrubbing, shoe, and water brushes, Stock brushes and sash tools, Hair, cloth, and nail brushes, &c., Gimblets, frying pans, Smoothing and grooving planes, Britannia metal ware, Ornet frames, tea and coffee pots, dram bottles, candlesticks and snuffers, tea and table spoons, knives, edge tools, socket chisels, spoke shaves, Woolpacks, Three-bushel bags, Sewing twine.

ABRAM BRIERLEY, Sussex-street, Sydney, September 8. 4926

WOOL PRESS—A Capital Wool Press for sale, made by Russell. Apply to Mr. BARRAZA, Charlotte-place. 5585

TIN PLATES—Charcoal Tin Plates, IC, IX, and IXX. **L. AND S. SPYER**, Spring-street, September 11. 52 5

ON SALE BY THE UNDER-SIGNED—Ale—XXX Royal Diamond Ale, Brown Stout. Parties are invited to inspect the above superior articles, from the Bow Brewery, by Messrs. Abbot and Son, originally Hodgson's, so much prized in India. **R. TOWNS**, 1160 July 16.

FOR SALE by the undersigned—Woolpacks, 10lb. and upwards, Three-bushel bags, Brushwood, in assorted packages, Iron, round, flat, square, hoop, and sheet, Tin plates, IC, IX, IXX, Oilman's stores, assorted, Batty's and Co., Stringer's and Co., Carbonate of soda and tart. acid, Gunpowder, HF, in 1/2 and 1lb packages, Manila and brab hats, Basins and currants, in barrels, Candle cotton, Liverpool and St. Ube's salt, Colonial tobacco, Boydell's and Pitt's Cutlery, Brandy, Martell's and Hennessy's, Rum, West India and Calcutta, 30 to 36 o.p., Duckworth's and Booth's old tom, Case gin and brandy, Wines, port, Hunt's and Sandeman's, hogs-heads, quarter-casks, and cases, Sherry, ditto ditto ditto, Champagne, claret, and Sauterne, Bynas', Bass', and Dunbar's bottled ale and porter. **BEAMES AND KEELE**, Hunter-street. 5604

TO FAMILIES BAKING THEIR OWN BREAD. FRESH YEAST may be had daily at the Store of the undersigned. **R. B. COOKE AND CO.**, Hunter-street, second door from Bligh-street. 258

SAXON MERINO PRIZE SHEEP. Ex Sophie, from Hamburg. 20 Rams and 15 Ewes, the finest wool sheep ever imported, are on view at Buchanan's Wharf. Apply to **A. DREITLER**, or **KIRCHNER AND CO.** 4673

TO SETTLERS AND OTHERS INTERESTED IN THE SALE OF COLONIAL PRODUCE. **M. R. FAWCETT**, Auctioneer and Commission Agent, George-street, Sydney, opposite the Barrack Gate, begs respectfully to inform Settlers and others that he will sell by auction **WOOL, TALLOW, HIDES, STOCK, AND OTHER COLONIAL PRODUCE**, at a Commission of ONE PER CENT. After the experience of several seasons, R. F. need not point out the superiority of this mode of sale over that of sale by private contract, the result having fully borne out an advance of from 10 to 15 per cent. Commodious Stores for the reception of colonial produce until the day of sale. Cash advances made immediately on the receipt of produce, and supplies furnished, if required. 481, George-street.

GOLD DUST purchased in any quantity by the undersigned. **THACKER AND CO.**, 1218 541, George-street.

TO COUNTRY AGENTS—The Agents for the Sydney Morning Herald are requested to furnish their quarterly statements of accounts previous to the 20th instant. September 8.

NOTICE—Whereas by a deed of separation, executed at Adelaide, in the province of South Australia, I, Emanuel Solomon, did part from my wife, Celia Solomon; making to her, the said Celia Solomon, a sufficient allowance for her maintenance. This is to caution the public against giving any credit to her, the said Celia Solomon, as I will not be responsible for any debt contracted by her. **EMANUEL SOLOMON**, Adelaide, July 21. 5673

WE, the undersigned, have this day, by mutual consent, dissolved partnership as Carcases Butchers, in Sydney, and all outstanding debts due to the firm of Messrs. Richards and Blakeney to be paid to Mr. Michael Blakeney, whose receipt will be a sufficient discharge for the same. **BENJAMIN RICHARDS**, MICHAEL BLAKENEY, Witness—GEORGE CHAMBERLAIN, Sydney, September 16. 5651

WANTED, Two Horses, used to draw in trace harness in horse teams, as leaders. Trial will be required. Apply to Mr. RICH, Miller's Point Wharf. 5650

WANTED, a Superintendent for a Sheep Station. His character must bear the strictest scrutiny. Apply to the undersigned, at his Office, in Macquarie-street. **W. MACPHERSON**. 5647

TO WINE GROWERS. The undersigned are prepared to enter into arrangements with parties desirous of obtaining Vinedressers, Wine Coopers, &c., from Germany, and would undertake to procure, engage, and have conveyed hither, skilled labourers of the above description, free of all expense to the employers. Immediate application is requested to **KIRCHNER AND CO.**, George-street North. 5643

LAW INTELLIGENCE. **SUPREME COURT**—Wednesday. **SETTING IN BANCO.** Before the Chief Justice and Mr. Justice DICKINSON. **INSOLVENCY JURISDICTION.** **CERTIFICATES.** The Chief Commissioner moved that the certificates of the following insolvents be confirmed:—Joseph Mather, Edward Hickson, and Abraham Lyons; and there being no opposition the Court made the order.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION. The Chief Commissioner moved the confirmation of the plan of distribution in the estate of Robert Gill and George Duff Gill, recommending a dividend of 9d. in the pound. The Court made the order.

COMMON LAW JURISDICTION. **ATTORNEY-GENERAL S. RYAN AND OTHERS.** Sir ALFRED STEPHEN, C. J., delivered the judgment of the Court in this case as follows:—This is an Information of Intrusion, in respect of certain land in Sydney. The information, instead of describing the land by its abutments simply, adds a further description; showing that the land has been, at some former time, granted by the Crown (to whom does not appear) in accordance with a Report from the Commissioners for Grants. The words are, "being the land granted in accordance with the Reports, on Memorials 538 and 906, by the Commissioners appointed under the Act of 4 William IV, No. 9." One of the defendants, therefore, has demurred specially to the Information; assigning, for cause, (among many other grounds, which were all disposed of on the argument) that *prima facie* the title was not in the Crown, but in some person from the Crown—or that there was at least an ambiguity, occasioned by the added words, whether the title was in the Crown or a subject.

The Solicitor-General insisted, however, that the whole was but matter of description; by which, he maintained, no question as to the title could arise. He contended, that sustaining the Demurrer would be, in effect, to compel the Crown to set out its title; and that the allegation, that the land now belonged to the Crown, was sufficient.

We have considered this question; and we are of opinion that the demurrer must be allowed. The Crown, on its own showing, has issued a grant of the land, under a recent Act of Council, of which we are bound to take notice, passed in aid of persons claiming to be entitled to such grants. According to the well known presumption of continuance, therefore, the title in the grant still exists; and the Crown, consequently, should have shown that the estate has been determined. At any rate, there is an ambiguity. The Information alleges, no doubt, that the land belongs to the Crown; but, if so, what becomes of the grant?

The Attorney-General may amend; but we think that it must be on the usual terms.

BEIT F. TAYLOR. Sir ALFRED STEPHEN, C. J., delivered the judgment of the Court in this case as follows. This was an action on a Charter Party of Affreightment, for not loading the vessel with a cargo of coals, within a reasonable time after her arrival at Newcastle. The defendant hired the vessel, to proceed from Sydney to that place; where she was to take in coals for him, and then proceed to New Zealand, and thence to other places. At each of these, a certain number of days was specified, for loading and unloading; but no time was fixed for the stay and loading of the vessel at Newcastle. The words of the written contract stipulated, only, that she should proceed to Newcastle, "as there receive on board, at the Australian Agricultural Company's wharf, a full cargo of coals." It was admitted, that these words bound the defendant to load her there within a reasonable time after her arrival; and the defendant pleaded, that he did so load her. The question was, however, what should be considered a reasonable time. The fact was, that the defendant caused the vessel to be loaded, as soon as the then existing circumstances could allow; but it so happened, that when she arrived at Newcastle, and was prepared to go under the wharf, a great number of vessels had previously (according to the Company's regulations and usage) been supplied. The plaintiff's vessel, therefore, was detained at Newcastle for several weeks; and, for the loss occasioned by that detention, the present action was brought.

At the trial before Mr. Justice Therry, evidence was admitted of conversations between the plaintiff and defendant, or their agents respectively, showing their knowledge of the state of affairs at Newcastle; and evidence was also received by his Honor, of the usage or regulations of the Australian Agricultural Company, as to the loading of all vessels at their wharf in turn. The Judge was of opinion, that the conversations and usage were admissible, on the question of reasonable time; and the Jury found the issue, on that question, for the defendant.

A new trial was moved for, accordingly, in the last Term, on the ground of misdirection, and for the wrong reception of evidence; when the case was argued, by the Solicitor-General and Mr. Fisher for the plaintiff, and Mr. Foster and Mr. Darvall for the defendant, and we took time to consider of our judgment. It was contended by the former, that *reasonable time for loading* meant, only, a reasonable time for putting the cargo on board, on the assumption that it was ready for shipment, on the vessel itself being in a fit state to receive it; and that to relax the obligation of the freighter, to be thereupon ready with his cargo, because of any usage or regulations of a private trading company, or because of loose conversations prior to the contract, on a point as to which the contract itself was silent, would be to vary and oppose that contract. A known and general usage in the particular trade, it was conceded, might affect the contract; but not, it was urged, a mere custom of so limited and precarious a character, as the one relied on here. On the other hand it was argued for the defendant, that the question what was reasonable time in this case depended, of necessity, on all the circumstances; of which, the rule of the Coal Com-

pany to load vessels in their turn was one— that the plaintiff's knowledge of those circumstances, before he concluded the contract, was a material fact in the case; and that the fixing of a number of days, in respect of the loading in Sydney and at New Zealand, but leaving the time for loading at Newcastle indefinite, showed that the actual state of things there, and the uncertainty attendant on the taking in of coals, had been fully considered by both parties.

The following cases were cited, or referred to in the course of the argument.

Whittaker v. Mason, 3 B. N. C. 364; *Truman v. Loder*, 11 A. and E. 608; *Stewart v. Canty*, 8 M. and W. 161; *Barker v. Hodgson*, 3 M. and W. 398; *Robertson v. Jackson*, 2 C. B. 426; *The Queen v. Stoke upon Trent*, 5 Q. B. 304; *Randal v. Lynch*, 2 Camp. 357; *Rodgers v. Forrester*, 2 Camp. 463; *Taylor v. Clay*, 9 Q. B. 724; *Spence v. Chadwick*, 10 Q. B. 627; *Stumpson v. Marston*, 11 Q. B. 31; *Clapham v. Verney*, 5 Q. B. 270; *Atkinson v. Ritchie*, 10 East 531; *Brown v. Johnson*, 10 M. and W. 332; *Hills v. Sughrue*, 16 M. and W. 360; *Lewis v. Marshall*, 7 M. and W. 729; *Wiggleworth v. Dallison*, 1 Star. 111.

We have looked into those authorities, and conferred together on the case; and we are all of opinion, that the verdict must stand. There is no decision, which exactly touches the present case; but the one which, as it appears to us, in principle, the most nearly approaches it, is that in *Stewart v. Canty*, 8 M. and W. 160.

We think that the question of reasonable time must be determined, here, by the special circumstances. Had the defendant contracted to load the vessel, as at the other ports named, within a given number of days, he must clearly at all hazards have performed that contract. Almost all the cases relate to contracts of that kind. But here the defendant was to load, only, within a reasonable time. If that were construed to mean, the time which it would take to fill the vessel, nothing would have been more easy than to compute, with exactness, and to have prescribed that time. The non-specification of any, it seems to us, affords ample ground for rejecting such a construction. The vessel was to load at a particular spot, described in the contract as the shoot of a particular Company; and we see neither authority nor reason for holding, that one of the parties was bound to know, or to take the risks attending, the actual or possible contingencies of loading there, more than the other. The knowledge of one of those contingencies, namely, the difficulty of immediately procuring a cargo, it would seem, was common to both the parties. Both, therefore, may be supposed to have contracted, with reference to that knowledge; and the reasonableness of the time, whether they possessed it or not, would (we think) necessarily depend on contingencies of that kind.

There is no certain rule, by which the term "reasonable time" can here be fixed. Its meaning, as applied to the thing to be done in this case, (if there be no such fixed rule,) must be ascertained by matter extrinsic. And, in this view, we conceive that the evidence objected to was, on both points, rightly received. The vessel was to load, at the Australian Company's shoot. The usage at that shoot, therefore, as affecting the time within which vessels could be loaded, was a matter entering essentially into the question, what the parties meant in this case, by the words used by them. A reasonable time for loading at the London Docks, might vary very materially from that which would be reasonable, for loading in a dangerous and unfrequented harbour or roadstead, or at a place where, as at this coast shoot, vessels are only permitted to load at certain times, or in a certain way. If the plaintiff used the term reasonable, in a sense which would all reference to such circumstances, he should have carefully defined its meaning at the time; or, intending to cast the risk of protracted detention on the defendant, he should have limited a certain number of days—and then this litigation would have been avoided.

DEACON V. CARPENT.

Sir ALFRED STEPHEN, C.J., delivered the judgment of the Court in this case as follows.

This was an action tried before Mr. Justice Therry, to recover the proceeds of a bill of lading, the property (or supposed property) of the plaintiff, shipped by or for him on board the defendant's vessel, in this colony, and which the defendant sold (or alleged so to have been) at California.

In support of this case, which otherwise was certainly not established, the plaintiff tendered in evidence a bill of discovery, filed by him against the defendant, with an order in this Court, in its Equitable Jurisdiction, made in that suit, upon the default of the defendant (as alleged in the Order) to answer the said bill, that the same should be taken *pro confesso*. There was no proof given, beyond that afforded by the Order itself, that as the words conveyed a serious charge against his client, he was entitled to a fair and reasonable amount of damages, more especially as the defendant had neither offered any apology, nor had the dispute been settled by the council of the society, which would have been the proper course.

John Devlin proved that the defendant spoke the words complained of at the meeting of the council, and that after the meeting was over, the plaintiff and the defendant and the witness were walking home together, when a man named Cain, who was a member of the society, came up with them near St. James' Church, and said something to them about a sixpence that had been levied upon each member of the society, when the defendant said to him, pointing to the plaintiff, "There's the man that was the means of your paying the sixpence for the doctor," and addressing the plaintiff, said, "You need not say anything about it, as you robbed the society of £20, as I said before."

On cross-examination by Mr. BROADHURST, this witness proved that there had been a discussion at the meeting about this levy of sixpence on each member of the society, and that the defendant said that it was through the plaintiff's means that the £20 had been extracted from the society; that on walking home afterwards, the plaintiff asked the defendant for a cigar, and that he replied that he did not think he deserved one, as he had robbed the society of £20.

Patrick Cain corroborated the last witness

confession, and which had there been acted on as a confession, might equally be taken by every other Court, in a suit between the same parties, as a confession. But, on fuller consideration, I do not retain that impression. Where a judgment is founded on appearance, and a *sub judice*, at law, the defendant has either actually appeared to the action, or the plaintiff is (by the express provision of a statute) permitted to allege that he has. The judgment, therefore, could not be impeached; for, on the face of it, the defendant would seem to have confessed the matter. Yet, even there, the record would be no evidence, specifically, of the fact of appearance or of silence. And so, in this case, the mere Order that the defendant shall be taken to have confessed, (although good enough, doubtless, for the purposes of the suit in which it was made,) affords no legal proof of the facts, which would present the inference that he did confess.

The admissibility of the evidence, consequently, must depend on the General Rule relied on at the trial. And Mr. Justice Dickinson concurs with me in opinion, that that Rule was never intended to apply, and does not apply, to any action or other proceeding at law. It is one of a set of Rules, framed for the regulation of practice and matters in Equity only. The context of the particular Rule itself, as it appears to us, plainly shows that nothing more could have been in contemplation. To have established a new mode of proof, for proceedings in a distinct jurisdiction, with which no other portion of the 3rd Rule, or any other of the series, was in any way connected, would have been foreign to every purpose for which they were passed, and to which they totally relate. It has been objected, that, on this construction, the provision in question would be inoperative; as the only species of bill ever taken *pro confesso* is a bill of discovery, which (in Equity) ends with the confession. But it is certain, that the provision might be applied, where cross bills are pending; and it would then, obviously, be used for the same purpose as the other Rules in the series—namely, for regulating Equity practice alone. In no event, however, do we think that a General Rule, framed as this was for the Equity side of the Court, could be made specifically to apply to an action, without words plainly showing that it was meant to be so applied.

If there were no other reason for holding that this Rule was not so intended, we should think the existence in this colony of the 5. W. IV. No. 8, introducing the 11. G. IV. and 1. IV. c. 36, was a sufficient one. (See *Prac.* 288.) Before that statute, no bill could be taken *pro confesso* against any person; but, if he would not answer, the only remedy was keeping him in prison. But, by that statute, in certain cases, (of which, it is sufficient to say that this is not one,) the Court may order a bill to be so taken; and then it may be read as evidence against the defendant, in all proceedings, both in Equity and at Law. The passing of such an enactment, in our opinion, affords very strong grounds for the conclusion, that, without the higher sanction of the legislature, there is no power to make a bill, which has been taken *pro confesso*, evidence against the defendant in an action; and we are, therefore, not disposed to hold, that the Judges ever assumed that power. For the same reason, it may even be questioned whether the Rule of 1838, allowing bills of discovery to be taken *pro confesso*, does not require confirmation. But this question need not be determined now.

I am to add for Mr. Justice Therry, that he is not prepared to dissent altogether, from the conclusion at which we have arrived. But His Honor conceives, that the Rule on which he acted at the trial, and within which he thought that the case fell, is never less sufficiently comprehensive to have embraced actions, as well as suits in equity; and he thinks that its existence, in such terms, is very much calculated to mislead. It will, therefore, most probably, be shortly either repealed or modified. As the case stands, there must be a new trial.

SITTINGS AT NEW PRIZE.
Before His Honor Mr. Justice THERRY.
BARRON V. HUTTON.

This was an action for slander.

Mr. Foster and Mr. Fisher appeared for the plaintiff, Mr. Broadhurst for the defendant.

The case opened by the learned counsel for the plaintiff was this. The plaintiff and the defendant were members of the Council of a Society called the Holy Guild of St. Mary and St. Joseph. There was a meeting of the Committee of the Council in March last, and at this meeting the defendant addressed to the plaintiff the words complained of in the declaration, viz., "You robbed the society of £20, and I'll prove it." These words were, after the meeting was over, repeated by the defendant to the plaintiff, which the learned counsel contended was a proof of malice; and though he would not ask for vindictive or heavy damages, still he submitted that as the words conveyed a serious charge against his client, he was entitled to a fair and reasonable amount of damages, more especially as the defendant had neither offered any apology, nor had the dispute been settled by the council of the society, which would have been the proper course.

John Devlin proved that the defendant spoke the words complained of at the meeting of the council, and that after the meeting was over, the plaintiff and the defendant and the witness were walking home together, when a man named Cain, who was a member of the society, came up with them near St. James' Church, and said something to them about a sixpence that had been levied upon each member of the society, when the defendant said to him, pointing to the plaintiff, "There's the man that was the means of your paying the sixpence for the doctor," and addressing the plaintiff, said, "You need not say anything about it, as you robbed the society of £20, as I said before."

On cross-examination by Mr. BROADHURST, this witness proved that there had been a discussion at the meeting about this levy of sixpence on each member of the society, and that the defendant said that it was through the plaintiff's means that the £20 had been extracted from the society; that on walking home afterwards, the plaintiff asked the defendant for a cigar, and that he replied that he did not think he deserved one, as he had robbed the society of £20.

Patrick Cain corroborated the last witness

to the words used "near St. James' Church." On cross-examination he admitted he had been a member of the council of the society, but was deprived of voting for twelve months for putting a man's name to a petition to the council.

Thomas Clune, a member of the Society, proved, that at the breaking up of the meeting in March, he heard some one (he could not say who) say, "You did fool, you robbed the society of £20." The plaintiff said, "If I did, why did not they bring it forward earlier." They were all laughing, and he laughed too, and took it as a joke, as the others did.

Mr. BROADHURST, for the defendant, applied for a nonsuit, which was refused. The learned counsel then addressed the Jury for the defence, submitting that this was a most trumped-up case. There was no foundation at all for supposing that the defendant imputed robbery to the plaintiff. The words only amounted to this, that the plaintiff had been the means of extracting the £20 from the society, by the levy of 6d. a head on each member for the purposes of the society. He, Mr. Broadhurst, would show the plaintiff's own statement of the alleged slander, in which not a word was said about the robbery. This was a letter or memorial addressed by the plaintiff to the warden and chaplain of the society, and was as follows:—"I beg leave to bring under your notice a most unfounded charge, and in a most insulting manner by Mr. Counsellor Haydon against me, after the meeting being over. These are his words, 'Barron, you were the means of extracting the sum of £20 from the funds of the society; that is the fact; I heard of it; I say so, and can prove it.' Reverend chaplain and warden, I hope you will call a meeting of the council to try this charge immediately, 16th March. Brother Patrick Barron, counsellor."

Mr. Foster replied shortly; and His Honor in summing up, told the Jury it was for them to say, whether the words used were intended as an imputation of robbery, in their primary sense, or were used only in a secondary sense. It would be but natural, that the plaintiff in submitting his case to the council, would put it in the strongest manner, and yet there was nothing in his letter about robbery.

The Jury returned a verdict for the defendant.

BELSHAM V. RICKARDS.

This case was then tried, and a verdict found for the defendant. The report of the case will be given to-morrow.

The following jurors were fined £5 each for non-attendance, on their names being called, viz., Ranulph Dacre, Edward Flood, Robert Campbell, tertius, Daniel Eggar, and Alexander Campbell.

MR. FISHER opened the pleadings. The declaration consisted of a count for goods sold, the money counts for interest, and on an account stated. The pleas were non-assumpsit, payment, and set-off.

Mr. FOSTER stated the case. The plaintiff being in February, 1846, possessed of about 130 ounces of Californian gold dust, placed it in the hands of the defendant to ship to London on his account. It was at first proposed by the plaintiff to have the proceeds in cash or goods. It was proved that applications were made from time to time to the defendant for settlement, and that the defendant had delivered to the plaintiff account sales of the gold in London, an invoice of goods shipped to defendant by his father in London (not mentioning the plaintiff's name) and two accounts subsequently, in one of which the plaintiff's name appeared as having a tripartite interest in the items. It also appeared that the defendant had been ordered for which was given at the same time as for the other goods) had been received by the plaintiff, with some other articles of small value, and it also appeared that some of the goods which had come out had been sold on credit, and not yet expired, and that part, upwards of one thousand pounds worth, were still on board. Under these circumstances the plaintiff had a right to sue for the cash proceeds of the gold in the present form of action.

Mr. BROADHURST and Mr. DARVALL for the defendant, applied for a nonsuit, on the ground that there was no evidence in support of either of the counts in the declaration. The evidence was of a special contract to ship the gold and deliver the proceeds by an indent of goods to be made out by the defendant to the plaintiff, and if the plaintiff complained of breach of this agreement, the proper form of remedy was either by special assumpsit or in Equity.

After hearing the arguments on this point, the learned Judge refused to nonsuit, but reserved leave to move.

Mr. BROADHURST then went to the Jury, and contended that the plaintiff had not made out his case. It was clearly a special contract to pay by goods, and not in money; it was a contract to be made out by the defendant, and declared upon with particularity, and if the plaintiff had received any benefit at all from the contract, he could not repudiate the rest, and treat the case as one of a simple money contract. It was quite clear the plaintiff had derived some benefit from the contract, by the delivery to him of the mineral teeth, and other articles. Chitty, Jun., on Contracts, 4th ed. p. 630, 640, were cited in support of this view of the case.

His Honor, in summing up, told the Jury that if there was a special contract, and that contract had been wholly broken by the defendant, the plaintiff would have a right to recover the cash proceeds of his gold in the present form of action; but that if the contract had been in part performed, and the plaintiff

had received any benefit from such a part performance, the present form of action, was not maintainable. It was for the Jury to say whether the receipt of the mineral teeth was to be considered as part of the proceeds of the gold under the contract, or whether that was an isolated transaction. The special contract was distinctly proved, and the receipt of the money for the gold in London was also indisputable, and it was for the Jury to decide whether or not there had been on the part of the defendant any compliance or part-compliance with the terms of the contract.

After a few minutes' deliberation, the Jury found a verdict for the defendant.

TUESDAY.
Before His Honor the Chief Justice.
AITEEN V. MOSE.

Mr. WATSON appeared for the plaintiff. The defendant let judgment go by default. The action was brought for board and lodging for eighty-two weeks, claiming 12s. a week.

The Jury assessed the damages at £49 6s.

non v. novatus.

This was an action for mesne profits after recovery in ejectment.

Mr. Broadhurst was counsel for the plaintiff. The defendant suffered judgment by default. The damages were assessed at £149 9s. 6d.

RICKARDS V. BELSHAM.

On this case being called, it was arranged that a verdict should be taken, by consent, for £240, subject to a reference.

DOR DEM WANT V. GORE.

This was an action of ejectment to recover possession of certain premises called the Artamon Estate, on the North Shore, in the Willoughby district.

Mr. Foster, Mr. Broadhurst, and Mr. Fisher, appeared for the lessor of the plaintiff, and the Solicitor-General for the defendant.

On the 9th March, 1846, William Hugh Gore, deceased, late father of the defendant, mortgaged to one Green, one undivided fifth share, and all other the parts or shares of the said W. H. Gore, in the Artamon Estate, (except one acre sold to a Mr. Savage) and all the estate, right, title, &c., of the said W. H. Gore, in the premises. This mortgage was assigned to Mr. Want by Mr. Green, on 16th June, 1851. By the form of declaration the whole of the estate was claimed, and it was contended by the counsel for the plaintiff, that he was entitled to the whole. Inasmuch as although the deed assigned specifically one-fifth share in the premises, yet it went on to mention "all other parts or shares of the said W. H. Gore in the premises, and all the interest therein." Besides which, the defendant had, according to the evidence of one of the witnesses, admitted that he was the owner of the whole as heir-at-law of his father. And in addition to this, the consent rule admitted the defendant's claim to the whole. And it was urged that under these circumstances, the defendant was estopped from disputing that the whole of the Artamon Estate was conveyed by the deeds in question.

The Solicitor-General, for the defendant, contended that the plaintiff ought not to recover. There was no evidence of the plaintiff's title, or interest, in any more than one-fifth of the premises, and he had claimed the whole. By the deeds one-fifth only was conveyed. The evidence as to what the defendant had said of his title was very loose and unsatisfactory. And as to the consent rule, it was only a parallel with the declaration; and if under a claim for the whole, part was recoverable, the consent rule must be construed in a similar manner, and is referable only to the quantity proved.

His Honor directed the Jury to find for one undivided fifth of the property, telling them that by the special words in the deed only that part was conveyed; and if any more was claimed under the general words, it lay on the plaintiff to prove that the mortgagee had at the time more than one-fifth to convey. Of this, there was in His Honor's opinion, no evidence whatever. As to the consent rule it was only an admission of what the defendant claimed at the time of bringing the action, and not of what he was entitled to at the date of the mortgage. The Jury must, however, except from their verdict the one acre excepted in the mortgage.

The Jury found a verdict for the plaintiff on the demise from Mr. Want to the defendant, the fifth part of the 450 acres, called the Artamon Estate, excluding therefrom the one acre mentioned in the deed as sold to Savage.

Leave was reserved to move to enter a verdict for the whole of the estate; the Court to draw the same conclusions from the evidence as a Jury would.

DOR V. THURV.

This was an action of trespass for mesne profits in respect of a house in George-street, occupied by Mr. Chapman. The defendant pleaded the Statute of Limitations as to all the time beyond six years next, upon the commencement of the suit, and not guilty as to the rest.

Issue was joined on the last plea, and a *nolle prosequi* entered as to the first.

Mr. Broadhurst appeared for the plaintiff; and the Solicitor-General watched the case on the part of the defendant.

The proceedings in ejectment and the costs thereof, £17 16s. 4d., the occupation of the defendant (by receipt of rent from the tenant, Chapman), and the amount of the rent, £5 4s. 9d., a week were proved. It was also proved that Mr. H. Osborne, one of the lessors of the plaintiff in the ejectment was the real plaintiff in this action.

The Solicitor-General admitted, that under the present fictitious form of proceeding (which he hoped soon to see abolished), his client was liable in this Court to a verdict against her for some amount of mesne profits, but submitted that as Osborne's estate was the real plaintiff, was dated in April, 1851, the damages could not be extended beyond that time.

His Honor, in summing up, directed the Jury that in estimating their damages, they were not confined to the date of the demise by Osborne, but they might find for the whole of the six years next before action brought.

Verdict accordingly for the plaintiff, damages £1067 7s. 4d.

Leave was reserved to move on the point taken by the Solicitor-General.

PENDRAT, ADMINISTRATOR V. BREMER.

This was an action on a promissory note, dated 3rd November, 1846, made by one Lewis payable to the defendant, and indorsed by him to the plaintiff. The defendant pleaded, 1st,

<http://nla.gov.au/nla.news-page1509204>